

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/633,957	Applicant(s) KNOBLAUCH, DANIEL J.	
	Examiner James M Hewitt	Art Unit 3679	

All Participants:

(1) James M Hewitt.

(2) Donald Ersler.

Status of Application: ____

(3) ____.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 10 March 2005

Time: ____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1-8, 10 and 12-19

Prior art documents discussed:


N/A

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant's representative agreed to cancel claims 1-7 and 13-19 as being drawn to a non-elected invention, and also agreed to amend claims 8, 10 and 12, as reflected in the accompanying Examiner's Amendment, in order to obviate various informalities.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)